

# WEREWOLF in London: Minding the Gap with User-Friendly Energy Optimization Tools Informing Policy Makers through the Energy Transition

Michael C. Ferris ferris@cs.wisc.edu (Joint work with Josh Arnold, Adam Christensen, and Andy Philpott) <u>https://werewolf.discovery.wisc.edu/</u>

Jacques-Louis Lions Chair, and John Morgridge Professor of Computer Science Computer Sciences Department and Wisconsin Institute for Discovery, University of Wisconsin-Madison Madison, Wisconsin USA

> Energy Evaluation Europe 2021 Virtual Conference 15 March 2021

# **GEMSTONE** model was used to inform New Zealand's Zero Carbon Act

- Zero Carbon Act and new Climate Commission
- Transition to 100% renewable electricity by 2035
- Stimulate new investment





- Policies matter: affects reduction amounts and cost
- Portfolio of required technologies becomes complex as reduction increases
- Uncertainties and incentives key
- November 2019 climate act provides framework for adoption



# WEREWOLF (Wisconsin Expansion of Renewable Electricity with Optimization under Long-term Forecasts) informs policy....quickly



- Design/policy decisions affect operations/reliability and vice-versa
- Goal: to help policy and decision makers ...
  - to distinguish between objectives and actions;
  - to understand effects of uncertainty;
  - to understand effects of incentives;
  - to explore larger design space, with quick turnaround



# **WEREWOLF** uses up to date industry-leading data sources



- (EPA NEEDS/Integrated Planning Model, NREL ReEDS data, NREL Annual Technology Baseline) can be updated regularly as needed
- Data is downscaled to county level user can customize regions as aggregations of these counties
- Spatial impacts are captured in visualizations







### WEREWOLF uses open source code available on github

- Transparency open source code is on github
- Data is adapted from EPA NEEDS/Integrated Planning Model, NREL ReEDS model data, NREL Annual Technology Baseline and other sources
- After data initialization, each run takes ≈ 5 mins to generate the following results
- Show effects of strategies driving towards 100% carbon free energy by 2050, coal plant closures, rapid deployment of renewables, increase in electric vehicle (EV) uptake, for example
- Demand in 2030 is a data input, what generation portfolio needed for this new demand?
- Model and app exercised with utility executives and state regulators (Wisconsin Public Utility Institute, Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, and WI Office of Sustainability and Clean Energy)



# WEREWOLF model outputs: Renewable increases (wind and solar) for 0%, 40%, 80% carbon reduction policy scenarios in State of Wisconsin, USA













#### WEREWOLF: User-friendly configuration using interactive GAMS MIRO app

	WEREWOLF				
📚 G A M S	=		Scenar	rio +	Help +
III Input	ev 10pct no carbon				×
a Output					
GAMS interaction					<u>۳</u>
Compare scenarios	Input widgets Generator Data Additional generation capacity (units: MW)	1	Transmission line capacity (units: MW) Input Scalars More >>		_
Load data	Fraction of light duty transport to become EV (units: unitiess)		Annual demand growth for electricity (units: %/yr)		
Solve model		0.5			*
					·
	Allow capacity investment in projected year		Limit capacity investment to control region only		
	Scale NREL capacity data		Allow only non-renewable generators to shutdown		
		2			
	0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8	2	Allow both renewable/non-renewable generators to shutdown		
	Use NREL Data for Wind/Solar Capacity Potential (region-specific)				
					-

7/10

#### Generation capacity mix in 2020/2030 for different policy scenarios



- No Carbon Goals: overall system costs are minimized, without a carbon constraint
- Carbon Goals: 60% reduction on in-state carbon emissions by 2030
- Nuclear (low-carbon) used when deep carbon reductions are necessary
- Coal steam generators are shut down, supplanted by renewables
- Storage to arbitrage across time slices
- Caps on investment are modifiable

#### Impact of Electric Vehicle Deployment on Generator Investments



- Model widespread adoption of light duty electric vehicles (EVs)
- Additional 180,000MWh demand per year
- Storage investment needed
- No significant CO2 reduction
- Additional demand and/or imposing carbon goals necessary for more dramatic effects

# How can WEREWOLF add value to your next energy decision?

- Models can and should inform policy
- Models demonstrate effects and costs of constraints
- Investment is coupled to reliability
- Run high level scenarios in close to real-time quick and interactive
- We are interested to get feedback from utility and policy experts about how this model/app would be useful in your utility and regulatory planning and evaluation efforts
- One-on-one demonstrations of model, suggestions of possible policy interventions
- Contact at: <u>ferris@cs.wisc.edu</u> or see <u>https://werewolf.discovery.wisc.edu</u>



# WEREWOLF: two-stage stochastic optimization model (simplified)

- Capacity decisions are z at cost K(z)
- Operating decisions: generation y at cost C(y), loadshedding q at cost Vq.
- Scenarios (futures)  $\omega$ , demand (load curve) is  $d(\omega)$ .
- Minimize capital cost plus expected operating cost:

- WEREWOLF populated using data from Wisconsin: develop the model for MISO and look at Wisconsin policies in particular
- Data and structure facilitate any US regional model or EU model

